Planning Act 2008 Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 Document reference: TR030006/D3/2



Able Marine Energy Park Material Change 2 Updated East Marine Plan Compliance Table







	Licensing Marine Plan Policy Assessment									
MCMS Case Reference: Case Officer:						Authorising Case Manager:				
East Marine Plans	Policy Text	Policy Aim/Rationale	Policy screened in or out from EMP assessment	Case officer assessment of plan policy (include why policy screened out)	Relevant page numbers, references and document title where applicant has assessed policy (for audit trail)	Plan policy assessment result	Date further information is received	Assessment implications for policy post receipt of Further Information Request	Additional comments (inc. other material considerations)	Final policy assessment
Policy BIO1	Appropriate weight should be attached to biodiversity, reflecting the need to protect biodiversity as a whole, taking account of the best available evidence hicklings on habitus and approxes that are protected or of conservation concern in the East marine plans and adjacent areas (marine, terrestrui).	This policy applies to both the inshore and offshore plan areas. This plan policy is intended to ensure that all current publicly available evidence relating to buddensity interest in the East marine plan areas is taken account of by the relevant public authority in the appropriate manner with advice from the Statutory Nature Conservation does. It is importative to note that the absence of evidence does not equate to the absence of features that are sensitive or of conservation concern, additional proposal specific evidence may be required. BOI also heaving to ensure that commitments within the current legislative regime to budieversity beyond designated states are clearly understood by stateholders. See Earth parama: 22.26.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The Updated ES (UES) takes account of all publicly available evidence with relation to the biodidiversity issues relevant to the development. The UES makes reference to both marine and terrestrial habitats and species that may be affected by the development, provides a range of subable miligation measures and makes reference to the Marine Policy Statement where appropriate.	Chapter 9 - Water and Sediment Quality - Section 9.4.0 Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Section 10.4.0 Chapter 11 - Ecology - Section 11.4.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Folheries - Section 12.4.0					Policy assessment for the application complete
Policy AGG1	Proposals in areas where a licence for extraction of aggregates has been granted or formally applied for should not be authorised unless there are exceptional circumstances.	Plan policy applies to both inchore and offshore plan areas. Links to plan policies OG1, OG2. Licensed areas to which the policy applies in the East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plan Areas are shown in figure 21 of the East Plans. The exceptional diromatianes are: 0 where the aggregate company that holds the base allows another party to use that area other for based of the aggregate company that holds the base allows another party to use that area other for based by the Department for East Plans and Climbel Changel for oil or gas development bee also plan policies Olari OdG2. Changes to the lease would be subject to agreement with the lease holder. See East Plans paras: 393-397.	OUT- Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	No aggregate extraction is proposed as part of the development	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy AGG2	Proposals within an area subject to an Exploration and Option Agreement with The Crown Estate should not be supported unless it is demonstrated that the other decomment or activity is compatible with aggregate extraction or there are exceptional circumstances.	Pan policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy OG1, OG2. This policy signals to those applying for an authorisation for a new development or activity that greeneds future extraction of gage gates in exploration areas that is it sharly to be tooked our undivourably our and area is as awarded rights' by The Corona State. It is expected that proponents of new development or activities will consult with the relevant aggregate company and others such as The Corona State, to determine computibility and to satisfy the public authorities that the policy is met. The exceptional circumstances are: ) where the for aggregate company the holds that aggregate takes and the location should be instendly the beartment for fuency and Climate Change) for oil or gas development. See East Plan pares 388-402.	OUT- Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development is not within an area subject to an Exploration and Option Agreement with The Crown Estate.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy AGG3	Within defined areas of high potential aggregate resource, proposals should demonstrate in order of preference. (a) how, if there any prevent aggregate extraction (b) more in the prevent aggregate extraction (b) will minimize these any prevent aggregate extraction, they will minimize the adverse impacts cannot be minimised, they will be milligated (c) how, if the adverse impacts is not possible to minimize or mitigate the adverse impacts.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Policy AGG3 applies MP5 3.5.6, taking account of the regional and national importance of the Each Manne Ran Acas Son marine aggregate supply and of the suptishly discrete areas in which commonschip vable deposits of samd and gravel are found. The policy is intended to which areas of high potential aggregate resources, as defined by findin Geological Garwey, may impact the ability to access commercially vable marine sand and gravel provides information those activities may accude new aggregate extraction, e.g. protocled other conditions for consideration by the relevant public authority. <u>If down on the index of the provide information for</u> consideration by the relevant public authority. <u>If down on the index of the provide information for</u> containt aggregates or providing evidence that the corporation but be compatible with entration activity. Circumstances under which bit might be satisfied could include moving the proposal minimum consisting the proposal relative to the available aggregate in that clocation is de minima. Circumstances under which if might be satisfied could include moving the proposal minimum consults that the compatible article could include moving the proposal minimum compatible could be available. Aggregate in that clocation is de minimum. Circumstances under which if might be satisfied could include moving the proposal first and becauseble are for aggregates, or proposal that proves that clocation is de minimum. Circumstances under which is might be satisfied could include moving the proposal first and the proposal relative to maximal aggregate in that clocation is de minimum. Circumstances under which is might be satisfied could include moving the proposal first and the obstruction is de minimum. Circumstances of the avaibable aggregates in that clocation is de minimum. Circumstances under which is might be satisfied could include moving the proposal first and the obstruction is de minimum. Circumstances o	QUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development is not within a defined area of high potential aggregate resource.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy AQ1	Within sustainable aquaculture development sites (identified through research), proposals should demonstrate in order of preference: a plants they will and advense impacts in order of preference by entre strategistic bacauculture counterful to the sevential of the strategistic bacauculture of periods and the sevential b) how, if there a advense impacts on aquaculture development, they can be minimized () how, if there advense impacts on aquaculture development, they can be minimized () how, if the advense impacts and the minimised by will be mitigated d) the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the advense impacts.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Policy AQI is an enabling policy for aquaculture, which stecks to protect opportunities for aquaculture, as they are identified through research and evaluation. The Marine Policy Statement (13.6 and 13.7) highlights the potential benefics of aquaculture, in sisting areas, and applied whether and a potential benefics of aquaculture is using areas, and applied whether and applied with the industry in possible future bocations. Policy and applied whether and applied with a possible future bocation. Policy and applied whether advection and applied and applied the applied of the application process and applied the application and the application applied to a store in the application and the application applied to a store and applied to a store and applied to the application processility the advect and the application applied to a store and possible an explanation at the application processility the advect and the application processility the advect and the application applied to a store applied whether applied to the application applied to applie	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development is not within a sustainable aquaculture development site.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy BIO2	Where appropriate, proposals for development should incorporate features that enhance biodiversity and geological interests.	This policy applies to both the induce and offshore plan areas. This policy adds value by providing a clear direction to public authorities that they should show a preference for proposals that enhance benefits to marine ecology, biodiversity and geological conservation. Such benefits may include the enhancement of realinence of ecosystems (for example to the effects of climate change), and the provision of ecosystem services such a flood protection and water effittation. Where approprinte' includes where it a reasonable to appect auch floatmust to be included that are consistent with or do not compromine (plenders) to do with technica constrains, cost or other reasons) the primary fores contrapate the need to assess negative impacts in low sub-limits for avoidance, protection or mitigation messures. See East Plan paras: 217-215.	RI- Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The USS identifies the sensitive receptors that relate to marine ecology, biodiversity and geological conservation and assesses the magnitude of change (impact) and applicance of effect of the development. The USS also provides an overview of the Humber Ecosystem. The consideration of enhancements to biodiversity and geological interests are contained within the original IS submitted in support of the DCD and did identify that the bismen jot constrained had an advece effect on the integrity of biodiversity and haddines the area part on tangitarian. On this sais, to constrained scheme included the provision of a range of mitigation and compensation measures (including the provision of over 100 hectures of compensatory marine habitat) the entrum the development is appropriate and development. The UCS also provision of over 100 hectures of compensatory marine habitat) to entrum the development as Compensation. Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EXMMP), functions: Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (MEMMP), compensatory habitat Compensation. Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EXMMP), function to the quark provide most of marine and monitoring Plan (MEMMP), compensatory habitat (Condensation. Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EXMMP), functions to the quark provide mark and quark environment. The USE review these finding in tablem to the proposed alterations to the quark provide mark and quark environment. The USE has found in the sample development bayoot that advectory contained within the original ES on this bais, no further biodiversity or geological enhancements: are proposed within the mark environment bayoot that advectory contained within the original ES on this bais, no further biodiversity or geological enhancements are proposed within the marken environment bayoot that advectory contained within the original ES on this bais, no further biodiversity or geological enhancements are proposed within the marken environment bayoot that advectory contained w	Chapter 7 - Geology, Hyndogeology and Ground Conditions - Section 7.1.0 Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Section 30.4.0 and 10.5.0 (Chapter 11 - Ecology - Section 11.4.0 and 11.5.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 and 13.5.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring - Section 27.2.0 and 27.3.0					
Policy CAB1	Preference should be given to proposals for cable installution where the method of installation is burial. Where burial is not achievable, decisions should take account of protection measures for the cable that may be proposed by the applicant.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy GOV1, DD1, PS2, TR2. This policy aims to ensure sub-sea cables are properly protected from damage and do not cause a safety issue for exestel, particularly in navigation channels. Burial of cables increases apportunities for co-location and co-existence with other activities. Public authorities should old to the ensure that device impacts upon adde generations are in the frait instance avoided. Where this is not possible, such impacts should be minimised through any miligation appopasia. Mitigation proposals will vary with cable type and purpore, aid obes any applicable legislation, including any environmental constraints. See East Plan paras: 417-422.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development proposals do not propose the installation of a cable.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy CC1	Propositi should take account of: - how they may be impacted upon by, and respond to, climate change over their lifetime and - how they may impact upon any climate change adaptation measures elsewhere during their lifetime Where definitional impacts on climate change adaptation measures are to their definition impact to provide as to how the proposal will reduce such impacts.	'adaptation-proofed' as much as is possible to increase their resilience to the effects of	Ni-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	In accordance with Section 4.21 of the Scoping Opinion (Appendix UESS-2), the UES has included consideration of carbon dioxide emissions (Chapter 12: Air Quality), flood rist and climate change (Chapter 12: Durinage and Flood Risk), hydrodynamics (Chapter 8: Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime) and adaptation of the development design (Chapter 4: Description of Chapters 10: Description). The assessment contained within the original EG sparsefield the predicted CO2 amissions of the original ES did not draw any conclusions from this. Nonverse: the impact on CO2 emissions diversified within the original ES was accepted as part of the DOC 18 is noted that material amendment does not relate to the operation phases in terms of the air quality scope and, therefore, CO2 impacts will not change as a result. The USEs dialy considered the risks associated with the explored will be air assessment of a suitable future flood risk scenario with raised sea levels. As such, the consideration of dimate change is interently contained within the existing assessments for flood risk accentario with raised sea levels. As such, the consideration of dimate change is interently contained within the existing assessments for flood risk accentario with raised sea levels. As such, the consideration of dimate change is interently contained within the existing assessments for flood risk and overtopping. On this basis, the Lipdated ES assesses the inpact of the proposed development on the carbon and climate balance and has identified that the development will not negative impact the climate and carbon balance.	4.4.0 Chapter 8 - Nydrodynamics, and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.4.0 Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Paragraph 10.7.6 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fabrierie - Paragraphs 12.7.6 Chapter 13 - Drainage and Flood Riok - Section 13.4.0 Chapter 17 - Air Quality - Section 17.4.0 Chapter 25 - Other Environmental Issues - Section 15.2.0					

East Marine Plans	Policy Text	Policy Aim/Rationale	Policy screened in or out from EMP assessment	Case officer assessment of plan policy (include why policy screened out)	Relevant page numbers, references and document title where applicant has assessed policy (for audit trail)	Plan policy assessment result	Date further information is received	Assessment implications for policy post receipt of Further Information Request	Additional comments (inc. other material considerations)	Final policy assessment
Policy CC2	Proposals for development should minimise emissions of greenhouse gases as far as is appropriate. Mitigation measures will also be encouraged where emissions remain following minimising steps. Consideration should also be given to easisom from other activities or users affected by the proposal.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. The focus of this policy is on those projects that we subject to the requirements of the Environmental Impact Assessment Directure. However, smaller-cale projects may have significant emissions consideration too. (In company) and infall too co-bacation of other activities; identification and need for assessment of such projects should be at the discretion of the decision-marker. The approach taken by this policy to reducing emissions of greenhouse gases should account for the following in relation to the minimizing and mitigating steps: - emissions indirectly related to the activity proposed (focularge genehouse gases directly - emissions indirectly related to the activity proposed (focularge genehouse gases directly - emissions indirectly related to the activity proposed (focularge emission genehouse gases directly - emissions indirectly related to the activity proposed (focularge genehouse gases) - emissions indirectly related to the activity proposed (for example, increased journey length reversus arise and for directopoment) - semicular intervention of secting measures or incorporation of relevable energy generation) See East Plans parsa: 241-244.		The development is required to minimise greenhouse gas emissions under Requirement 24 of Schedule 11 (Schedule of Requirements) that accompanied the DCO.	Chapter 17 - Air Quality - Section 17.5.0 Chapter 35 - Other Environmental Issues Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring					
Policy CCS1	Within defined areas of potential carbon shoulde storage, (mapped in figure 3) propositis should demonstrate in order of preference. 3) provide the area between impacts on carbon disoxide storage, they will minimise them () how, if the adverse impacts can carbon disoxide storage, they will minimise them () how, if the adverse impacts cannot be minimised, they will be milligated d) the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or miligate the adverse impacts.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. The fast marine plan areas represent a significant proportion of England's storage potential for Carbon Capture and Storage. The policy aims to help ensure that sufficient storage sizes are available for Carbon Capture and Storage over the long term in view of the large number of such sites, on a national and international case. Ways in which applicants may statisfy all include providing data that shows the irrea is not a statulate storage are or providing evidence that their operation will be compatible with storage activity. Circumstances under which bil might be satisfied could include showing that the opoprint of the proposal relative to the larging term and in the seaded is ningeflicant. Circumstances under which () night be satisfied could include moving the proposal from a statis has individe a for Cambon. Can be plants being to explore the seaded for wrise his many statistics of the cambon case the larging term can be plant and the statisfied could include and include marks being and the statisfied could include moving the proposal from a marks has individe and the cambon case the plants being the displant department applacies in the marks plants or other material considerations. The requirement under dia to acroide. Information for consideration by the deviate highle additional departmental placks in the approxed of the acroadest and Kalonde and planks and there is dones has a failed that approxed of the acroadest and Kalonder plants.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development is not within a defined area of potential carbon dioxide storage.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy CCS2	Carbon Capture and Storage proposals should demonstrate that consideration has been given to the re-use of existing oil and gas infrastructure rather than the installation of new infrastructure (either in depieted fields or in active fields via enhanced hydrocarbon recovery).	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Link to policy GOV1, GOV2. This policy promotes MPS 3.3.3, the potential to combine permanent torage of carbon dioxed with the enhanced production of hydrocarbons, and supports possibilities to re-use ending infrastructure to provide access to storage lists. See Rev Jan parse. 337-344.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development does not propose the capture/storage of carbon.	Policy N/A to application	Policy IV/A to application				
Policy DD1	Proposals within or adjecent to Remark diredging and disposal areas abaded dimonstrates, in order of preference, b) and they will an elevencial impact defenge and disposal activities b) how, if there are adverse impacts on dredging and disposal, they will minimize these c) how, if the adverse impacts cannot be minimised they will be mitigated d) he case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the adverse impacts.		IN-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The appicant, and the UES, has given due consideration to the dredging activities proposed and the need for disposal at an existing consented site (HU080, HU081 and HU082) A detailed assessment of the hydrodynamic and sedimentary regime has been undertaken for the proposed diredging activities and mitigation recommended where appropriate.	Chapter B - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.4.0 Chapter 10 - Aquate Ecology - Section 10.4.0 Chapter 22 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 13 - Dranage and Flood Riss - Section 13.4.0					
Policy DEF1	Proposals in or affecting Ministry of Defence Danger and Exercise Areas should not be authorised without agreement from the Ministry of Defence.	Asity applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. This policy supports the need for offence activities to bate place within the East marine plan areas for the purpose of national accurity. If the Ministry of Defence objects to a proposal then the development or activity will not be authorized. The Ministry of Defence bould be consulted in all consummaries to wrigh whether defence interests will be affected and the statement of activity of the statement of the defence activity of the Statement 2.29, Any applications which would adversity affect defence, a builties that the impact of a proposal does not conflict with the military usage. See East Plan paris: 279-281.	OUT- Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development does not affect and is not within a Ministry of Defence Danger and Exercise Area.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy EC1	Proposals that provide economic productivity benefits which are additional to Gross Value Added currently generated by existing activities should be supported.	Policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy SOC1. This policy is intended to promote more than the most ecconomically beneficial developments and activities. It also also additional transmission of the same type, it would be the case unless there and activities. Therefore where one project provides more economic benefit from all developments of the same type, it has the former should be supported. This should be the case unless there are other competing reasons not to support the more economically beneficial project. See East Plan prace: 113 22.	N- Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The development provides the experimiting for the LIX be extability a surplicit and difficure using characterization and extables the LIX the maximize its ecconnic development in the emerging ensemble emergy sector. The proposed material change will support the delivery of this Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSP). Characterization and operational phases: • ecconnic effects of the project herms of employment and skills; and infrastructure fields of the project is terms of employment and skills; and • effects in local communities including community in the National section. The proposed material amendment and extension. The program emerging amendment accounties of the social section and the vider community of the National and recreation. The program emerging amendment accounties with the XCC and the tables; and with regret of both the content content of the Socie Sociement and skills; and = effects on the project is terms of employment and skills; and = effects of the project is terms of employment and skills; and = effects in classification and employment and skills; and = effects in classification and extensions. The project metameters of the project is terms of employment and skills; and and classification and extensions. The project metameters of the socie Socie and the transacture for fiding of the only place Socie and the transacture for fiding of the development productivity benefits as outlined above. On this basis, the development proposals will provide economic productivity benefits as outlined above. On this basis, the development proposals will provide economic productivity benefits as outlined above. On this basis, the development proposals will provide economic productivity benefits as outlined above. On this basis, the development proposals will provide economic productivity benefits as outlined above. On this basis, the development proposals will provide economic productivity benefits as outlined above.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economics - Section 21.4.0					
Policy EC2	Proposals that provide additional employment benefits should be supported, particularly where these benefits have the potential to meet employment needs in localities close to the marrine plan areas.	Policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas: Links to policy SOCI. This policy is intended to promote more than solely the most economically beneficial developments and activities. It is also also also also also also also als	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The development positively contributes to the economy through the creation of jobs, and its use (wind energy generation) plays a role in influencing wider economic activities	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economics - Section 21.4.0					
Policy EC3	Proposals that will help the East marine plan areas to contribute to offshore wind energy generation should be supported.	Policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas and should be used in conjunction with policies WMD1 and WMD2. Optimising the location and methods of deploying offshore wind farms as well as other developments and activities that may affect their delivery. Will help minimise the adverse effects on both marine users and the environment. Its main role however, is to make the faits between ambitots for economic development and job creation, thereby adding value by highlighting the importance of the East marine plan areas to achieving national policy for economic growth and nenevable energy crystics. This is more geographically specific than national policy. See East Plan paras: 128-133.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The development (Able Marine Energy Park) is a port facility which will support the continued in off-shore wind energy facility and therefore it actively helps the East Marine Plan area contribute to offshore wind energy generation. The development serves as a begoake port facility for the renewable energy sector.	Chapter 1 - Introduction - Section 1.1.0 and Section 1.2.0 Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economics					
Policy EC01	Cumulative impacts affecting the ecosystem of the East marine plans and adjucent areas (marine, terrestrial) should be addressed in decision-making and plan implementation.	This policy applies to both the inchore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy GOV3. The policy supports the aim of integration across and between different plans, including tarrestrik local plans, in referring to the impacts of mainter activities on the terrestrik, as well additional target of the second secon	IN-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The updated ES assesses the cumulative and in-combination effects of the material amendment on the aquatic and terrestrial ecology of the site and surrounding area.	Chapter 8 - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.4.0 Chapter 50 - Aquatic Ecology - Paragraphs 10.4.88 et seq Chapter 11 - Ecology - Section 11.4.0 Chapter 21 - Commercial and Recreational Faheries - Paragraphs 12.4.25 et seq Chapter 26 - Assessment of Cumulative and In-Combination Effects					
Policy ECO2	The risk of release of hazardous substances as a secondary effect due to any increased collision risk should be taken account of in proposals that require an authorisation.	This policy applies to both the inshore and offshore plan areas. Risks are likely to be identified and addressed through existing mechanisms, such as environmental assessment, analyzistonal risk assessment, safety messures and contingency bans. It is essential that potential indirect effects are fully considered in practice. Public authorities may need to liaise with those with expertise and/or a remit relevant to the policy making their design, and determining uncestpible levels of risk, in addition to consultation of puistance and esisting regulations. Such as the Offshore Petroleum Activities 10 Policius Prevention and Control Papations 2005. Same medie, and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2005. Same Star Plan paras: 200-204.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The updated ES considers the margational risks associated with hazardous substances and the risk of collision. A further assessment has also been undertaken with regard to aviation safeguarding and the risks associated with craneage on the site and the potential for bird strike.	Oupter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Faheries - Paragraph 12.7.7					
Policy FISH1	Within areas of fishing activity, proposals should demonstrate in order of preference: a) that they will not prevent fishing activities on, or access to, fishing grounds b) how, if there are adverse impacts on the ability to undertake fishing activities or access to fishing grounds, they will minimae them c) how, if the adverse impacts cannot be minimaed. They the milligated d) the case for proceeding with their proposal if it is not possible to minimise or milligate the adverse impacts	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Note: Tushing activity' refers to iscensel, commercial fisheries only (para 42 of the East Wan). Link to policy GOV2, GOV3. This plan policy supports fishing activity by avoiding adverse impacts resulting from development and activities in the East marine plan area. The policy focuses on access to fishing gourds. The requirement under (joing fishild to policy fishild to provide information for consideration by the relevant public aution's <u>it. Goes not indicate that sportwal of the</u> pappoal will follow by default. See East Plan para: 437-441.	Ni-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The Updated ES considers how the material change relates to both commercial and recreational fishing. It concludes that are no significant changes to the direct impacts to fisheries that will result from the material amendment to the original DCO.	Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Faheries - Section 12:4.0					

<u>East Marine Plans</u>	Policy Text	Policy Aim/Rationale	Policy screened in or out from EMP assessment	Case officer assessment of plan policy (include why policy screened out)	Relevant page numbers, references and document title where applicant has assessed policy (for audit trail)	Plan policy assessment result	Date further information is received	Assessment implications for policy post receipt of Further Information Request	Additional comments (inc. other material considerations)	Final policy assessment
Policy FISH2	Proposals should demonstrate, in order of preference: a) that they will not have an adverse impact upon spanning and nursery arress and any associated habita b) how, if there are adverse impacts upon the spanning and nursery areas and any associated habitat, they will minime them c) how, if the adverse impacts cannot be minimised they will be mitigated d) he case for proceeding with their proposal if it is not possible to minimize or mitigate the adverse impacts	This policy applies to both inchore and offshore plan areas. The aim of this policy is to support the recovery of fish stocks by offering protection against adverse impacts to support the recovery of fish stocks by offering protection against adverse impacts to support the formation is a submitted, proportionate to any proposal, the adverse the support of the support of the support of the support stage land suggested measures to minimise or mitigate them. The requirement under of its stage land suggested measures to minimise or mitigate them. The requirement under of its stage land for consideration by the relevant policit submitty, it footen out indicate that approval of the proposal will follow by default. See East Plan paras. 442-446.	(N- Policy scoped into assessment Drough EMP policy search	The Updated ES considers how the material change relates to both commercial and recreational failing. It concludes that are no significant changes to the direct impacts to fidurize that will result from the material amendment to the original DCD - It also makes reference to the provision of compensatory habitat at Overry Cobb Sandw within the original DCD.	Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0					
Policy GOV1	Appropriate provision should be made for infrastructure on land which supports activities in the marine area and vice versa.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy GOV1 and the Castal Concordat. Public authorities must assess the potential positive and negative impacts, on both the marine and terrestrial environments, of development proposals in a collective and cumulative mariner (e.g. the reffect of a cale bindral on flood defence, unstable citls, landscape and sescape). Proposals in the marine area that would significantly compromise development devices of terrestrial development plans are unlikely to be approved. Public authorities should also take into account proposals on land that have potential impacts on delivery of marine plan objectives. See East Plan parae. 259-263.	IN- Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The original ES considers whether there is appropriate infrastructure in place to support the on-site activities in the marine area and vice versa. This includes the provision of a range of miligation measures to ensure the development is appropriate and identified effects are reduced or off-set where possible. The DCO includes (amongst others) requirements for the implementation of a dredging strategy. Compensation Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (ELMMP), Marine Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (IELMMP), compensatory habits (including Cherry Cable Sands and Halon Masche Wei Caravit Miligation Miligation estimations). The DCO includes (amongst others) requirements for the implementation of a dredging strategy. Compensation Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (ELMMP), Marine Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (ELMMP), compensatory habits (including Cherry Cable Sands and Halon Masche Wei Caravit Miligation Miligation Hermitiane). A scheller within the USE with the exception of navigation, the proposed material amendment does not alter the finding or require the implementation of further or alternate miligation beyond that contained within the original ES and the DCO. On this basis, the proposed material amendment continues to support activities in the marine area and vice versa as contained within the original ES and extrat DCO, and is entricy compliance with the requirements of Policy GOV1.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 8 - Hydrodynamics and Sedmentary Regime - Section 8.5.0 Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Section 10.5.0 Chapter 11 - Ecology - Section 11.5.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fuberies - Section 12.5.0 Chapter 13 - Drainage and Flood Risk - Section 13.5.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Milgation and Monitoring					
Policy GOV2	Opportunities for co-existence should be maximised wherever possible.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. It can be linked to proposals under CCS1 and CCS2. The plan areas is a second plan areas in the second plan area of the plan by an in offset is an area of the second plan area of environment in an effect and effective manage the use of space within the marine environment in an effect and effective manner. Marine plans hould dentify areas of constraint and locations where a range of activities may be accommodated. This reduces read and potential configure marine area of a second plan area of existence of multiple users. The policy ensures coexistence is considered. It is important for account in formulating plans affecting the marine area (including Local Plans, Local Development Financeus). Sporteine blangmente Plans and Rine Plans Management Plans and Rine Sale Plan area 264-264.	(N-Policy scoped into assessment Drough EMP policy search	The original ES considers shalther the development, as consented under the DCO, conflicts with other activities, and also with the environment in order to manage the use of space with the marine environment is appropriate and identified effects are reduced or off-set where possible. The DCD includes (amongst others) requirements for the implementation of a developing strategy, compensators to environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EXMMP), Marine Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (MEMMP), compensatory habital (including Cherry Cobb Sands and Hatton Manache Wei Crassible Million And and environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (IEMMP), compensatory habital (including Cherry Cobb Sands and Hatton Manache Wei Crassible Amilian rights of way, highways improvements, avaiton suffigurating, construction and best practice related million and suffiguration, relationed in the strateging of the strateg	Entre UES is of relevance but specific reference should be made to: Chapter 3 - Changes to Policy and Legislation (please note there is also a further Technical Note which has been issued during Lammation) Chapter 6 - Discription of Committee Developments Chapter 7 - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 14 - Commercial and Recreational Navigation - Section 14.4.0 Chapter 26 - Assessment of Cumulative and In-Combination Effects					
Policy GOV3	Proposals should demonstrate is order of preference: a) that they will avoid displacement of other existing or authorised (but yet to be implemental skutilities b) how, if there are adverse impacts resulting in displacement by the c) how, if the adverse impacts resulting in displacement by the proposal, cannot be minimise them c) another initiation they will be minipated against or or mitigate the adverse impacts of displacement.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to GOV2, SOC2, SOC3, AGG3, TOEL, PS3, CS3, DD1, PS14 and 2, AQ1, FR1 and TR2. Over-development, and the second secon	IN- Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The original ES considers whether the proposed development would deplote any pointing or authorized activities (including any non-designated environmental assets). The original ES too includers consideration of consultance effects, details the providers of a range of integration measures to ensure the development autopropriate and demitted deficits are reduced or for statemet possible, and the reducal effects of the development post mitigation. The constructed scheme does not displace any existing or authorised Dual yet to be implemented activities within the marine environment. Nevertheles, in terms of mitigation adverse impact of the whole reduced to Disclade Implement provident and the implementation of adverged strates, compensation Environmental Management and Monitoring Pile (ICMMP). Marine Environmental Management and Monitoring Pile (MMMP), compensatory habitat (including Comparison) tables and the strates and the Disclade Implement provident and Monitoring Pile (MMMP). Compensatory habitat (including Comparison) tables and the strates and the Disclade Implement provident and Monitoring Pile (MMMP). Compensatory habitat (including Comparison) tables and the Disclade Implement and the tables and the strates and strates and the	Entre UES is of refevance but specific reference should be made to: Chapter 3 - Charges to Nelvy and Legislation (please note there is also a further Technical Note which has been issued during Examination) Chapter 6 - Description of Committee Developments Chapter 8 - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.4.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 14 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 13.4.0 Chapter 25 - Assessment of Cumulative and In- Combination Effects Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring					
Policy MPA1	Any impacts on the overall Marine Protected Area network must be taken account of in strategic level mesures and assessments, with due regard given to any current agreed advice on an ecologically coherent network.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Plan policy MADI adds value to existing policy by clarifying the need for public authorities to not only consider impacts on individual tasks, but able impacts on the overall ecological orcherence of the Marine Protected Area network. This policy also indicates that this should be done at a strategic level rather than at a project level which in more relevant to individual Marine Protected Areas, and is addressed through assessments such as Environmental maccount will be considered in regional environmental assessments, Strategic Environmental Sessements of n assessments and maccuus bragels forward in support of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive. See East Plan para: 227-229.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The original Esconsiders the effects of the development on the Marine Protected Area and the ecological designations contained therein. This includes the provision of a range mitigation and compensation measure (including the provision of over IDD tectures of compensationy marina habital) to ensure the development is appropriate and destributed effects are reduced or off-at where possible. The DO Tockdes (monosit thene), requirements for the implementation of a dredging strategy, Compensation Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (ESMMP), Marine Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (IDMMP), compensatory habital finduding Cherry Cobe Sands and Hatton Marches Wet Corolariad Moligotion Area). These mitigation measures and requirements are all of relevance of the impacts and management of the Marine Protected Area network. As detailed within the UES, with the exception of nevigation, the proposed metarial amendment does not alter the formation of a complete mitigation means of Area relevance. As detailed within the UES, with the exception of nevigation, the proposed metarial amendment does not alter the formation of a complete mitigation means of Area network.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Section 10.4.0 Chapter 11 - Coology - Section 11.4.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Miligation and Monitoring					
Policy OG1	Proposals within areas with existing oil and gas production should not be authorised except where compatibility with oil and gas production and infrastructure can be satisfactority demonstrated.	This policy applies to both onshore and offshore plan areas. The sphall locatorine of individual developments is relatively small, but there is acclusivily mere than an accurate by the information control in relatively small, but there is acclusivily second platforms and extensis values information. In the information of 200 networks requirements for areas up to mon auxical miles around a platform for any activities that any interfere with histogetar approaches (usual a with artimized). The safety sume are in place for the protection of personnel, the infrastructure and other users of the sae. Plan oblig QG1 califies that, where esting the add age production and infrastructure are in place, the areas should be protected for the activities authorised under the production facere conset until the licence's surmative. Runching completion of any relevant decommissioning activity), or where agreement over co-basted use can be negotisted.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The proposals are not within an area of existing oil and gas production.	Policy N/A to application	Petry N/A to application				
Policy OG2	Proposals for new oil and gas activity should be supported over proposals for other development.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. The policy aim is to afford protection of potential sites to prevent incompatible activities taking place. In identified resource areas, oil and gas proposals will be supported over all other proposal. The policy is spatially precific and takes account of the relative and place place the policy is patially precific and takes account of the relative and the production in the East marine plan areas to the United Kingdom. See East Plans parass: 255-299.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development does not propose oil and gas activity.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy PS1	Proposale that require static seasurface infrastructure or that significantly reduce under keel clearance should not be authorised in international Maritime Organization designated routes.	This policy applies to both inclore and offshore plan areas. PSI recognise existing designations for navigation whils admonwledging the ability to co- locate with many scale bord related and non-permanent activities, provided such activity does not impige on navigational safety. The policy does not preclude non-permanent static use- inductive infrastructure such as jake op services, subject to prevailing operational requirements including relevant notifications to manners being issued to ensure safe operation. See East Pan prans 33-35.	OUT- Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development does not require static sea surface inflastructure.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy PS2	Proposals that require static sets surface infrastruture that encroaches por important encaption maters (set figure 18) should not be authorized unless there are exceptional to compatible with the need to maintain space for safe navigation, a) be compatible with the need to maintain space for safe navigation, working adverse accomic impact b) anticipate and provide for future safe navigational requirements where evidence and/or stateholder input allows and c) account for impacts upon navigation in-combination with other existing and proposed existings.	This policy aims to protect important navigation routes for navigational purposes. P52 provides additional detail to the Marine Policy Statement (3.4.7) on the importance of minimising engative impacts on a highing activity, protecting the economic interests of ports and shipping and the United Kingdom economy overall, and affording protection to the areas used by high intensities of traffic Marine Policy Statement 3.4.2.1. Exceptional	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search-the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development does not require static sea surface infinitivucture.	Policy N/A to application	Pelicy N/A to application				
Policy PS3	Proposals should demonstrate, in order of preference: a) that they will not interfere with current activity and future opportunity for expansion of points and harbours b) how, if the proposal may interfere with current activity and future opportunities for expansion, they will intimize this c) how, if the interference cannot be minimised, it will be mitigated 0) the case for proceeding if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the interference	This policy applies to the inshere plan area only. This policy applies to the inshere plan area only. This policy gives effect to the need to minimise negative impacts on shipping activity, freedom of avaigation and anagational safety, as well as protecting the efficiency and realisence of ontiniting port operations. In diviter port development and complemots the NFS for ports. This policy is not intended to influence factors related to competition between port and and/oud on result in consideration related to competition being factored in to decoston-making on the basis of these marine plans. This policy applies to opposed more widely, or assist definitional or plans in consideration related to apply and proposals is encouraged. The requirement under eff) to provide information for considerations by the relevant policy authority datas on directs that approval of the proposal will follow by defaulty. See East Plan paras: 367-373.		The Updated ES considers the National Policy Statement for Ports. The development will not result in any adverse impacts or interference on the ports or harbours in the area.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 8 - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.2.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Miligation and Monitoring					
Policy SOC1	Proposals that provide health and social well-being benefits including through maintaining, or enhancing, access to the coast and marine area should be supported.	Policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy SOCA, FOHL SOCA provides more detail and prescription than the Marrine Policy Statement for considering, the beam for location and use and the solar plane statement for a considering maintaining the coastal environment, and access to 1, in order to promote hash and well- enging with Europarties (including in preference to any alternative subject to other plan policies). See garagraph 139 of the East Rain for examples of initiatives which could be supported through this policy. See East Plan parts: 137-140.	N- Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The proposals positively contribute to the health and social well-being of the area. The provision of the coastal footpath is a significant local amenity and enhances the recreational and sourism value of the surrounding area. The development is also required to positively impact the health and social well-being through Schedule 11 that accompanied the original DCD.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economica - Section 21.4.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Miligation and Monitoring					

East Marine Plans	Policy Text	Policy Aim/Rationale	Policy screened in or out from EMP assessment	Case officer assessment of plan policy (include why policy screened out)	Relevant page numbers, references and document title where applicant has assessed policy (for audit trail)	Plan policy assessment result	Date further information is received	Assessment implications for policy post receipt of Further Information Request	Additional comments (inc. other material considerations)	Final policy assessment
Policy SOC2	Proposals that may affect heritage assets should demonstrate, in order of a preference of the should be assets and the should be asset of the significance of the heritage asset. b) how, if here is compromise or harm to a heritage asset, this will be minimised c) how, where compromise or harm to a heritage asset, annot be minimised it will be mitigated against or d) the public benefits for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate compromise or harm to the heritage asset.	Policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas and is specific to heritage assets. National Policy Statement BN-1 should also be considered when addressing visual impact on heritage assets in residue to the wind energy development. The aim of this policy is to ensure that existing marine and coastal heritage assets are protected from propositis that may have a detrimental impact upon them. It ensures that all heritage assets in whether formally designed or not, are considered in the decision-making process. The requirement under 0 is to provide information for consideration by the digital_Phone network and the abstrace of any difficult designation for buck assets does not necessarily indicate lower significance and MMO Lemming should consider them subject to assist and as a does not any design assets. The consideration assist here and the advance of any difficult designation for them subject to necessarily indicate lower significance and MMO Lemming should consider them subject to social wholes and can be advance of any contenge assets. Any exclused and social values and can be advance of any concerve heritage assets. In exclusion and recognition of their value to society. See East Plan paras: 146-152.	IN-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	A Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for marine and interticial archaeology was drafted in support of the original ES and it outlines the proposed mitigation measures. Updated mitigation measures are set out in the 2021 WSI and are to be submitted to English Heritage for approval.	Chapter 18 - Marine Archaeology - Section 18.5.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring					
Policy SOC3	Proposals that may affect the terrestrial and marine character of an area should demonstrate, in order of preference. Af an some start and the start of the sta	This policy applies to both the inshore and offshore plan areas and is specific to landscape (seascape) character. This policy adds value to what is described in the Marine Policy Statement by ensuring that the character of specific, areas is considered not only in the development of marine plans, the character of specific areas is considered not only in the development of marine plans, measures. This policy adds cluby to existing national policy by identifying where character measures. This policy adds cluby to existing national policy by identifying where character should ant to minime or mitigate possible detrimental effects within the East marine plan and the dimension including complication with largitations. In determining and considerations including complicates with linguistions and regulations. In determining and where heart bodies including larger and and regulations. In determining and where heart bodies including larger and a fingulations and english with a should authorities. See East Plan parsa: 175-180.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The Updated ES has identified any sensitive receptors with regard to the character of the surrounding area, and it has been concluded that it would not result in any adverse impacts.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 5 - Hydrodynamics and Sedimentary Regime - Section 8.4.0 Chapter 12 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.4.0 Chapter 10 - Aquatic Ecology - Sections 10.2.0, 10.3.0 and 10.4.0 Chapter 18 - Marine Archaeology - Section 18.4.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring					
Policy TIDE1	In defined areas of identified tidal stream resource (see figure 16), proposals should demonstrate, in order of preference: a) that they will not compromise potential future development of a tidal stream project b) how, if the barry and where impacts con potential tidal stream (chow, if the abarre impacts: como the minimed, they will be niligated d) the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the adverse impacts.	This policy spatial and identified areas require protection from other new developments and exhibits which could prevent the exploitation of tidal areas resources in the future the requirement under (1) is to provide information for consideration by the relevant public authorities, <u>it should not be taken in any way or of itself to indicate that approval of the apposal will oliver by default.</u> New development or activities that could have potential adverse impacts on tidal stream development in these areas include placement of hard infrastructure at any point through the water column, on or under the sadded and that will be in place for more than five years. Types of infrastructure include placements, guays, jettics, causeways ect. Types of activities that will prevent leading of areas for tidal stream deployment may include aggregate entraction and establishment of shipping motes. See East Plan parse. 118-323.	OUT-Policy scoped out of assessment through EMP policy search- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The development is not in an area of identified tidal stream resource.	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy TR1	Proposals for development should demonstrate that during construction and operation, in order of preference: a) they will not adversely impact tourism and recreation activities, b) how, if there are adverse impacts on tourism and recreation activities, they will minimise them c) how, if the adverse impacts and be minimised, they will be miligated d) the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the adverse impacts.	This policy applies for both inshore and offshore plan areas. This policy recognises the importance of tourism and recreation in the East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plan Areas and seeks to minimise adverse impacts of development on tourism and recreation. This mirrors the terrestrial planning system which provide detailed, add considerations that need to be address when planning a new development. This policy will generally be delivered through the EIA process. The trequirement under of a to the targeround of the proposal will follow by default, see East Plan pares: 470-473.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The updated IS assesses the inpact of the proposed material change on local burism in the area and concludes that the proposed change would not result in any material effects on the local tourism industry. The ISI dentifies that is expected that the England Casts Path (which runs through the site) would, when in place, contribute to the tourism economy. The proposed change to the route would cause negligible inconvenience to users of the Path that is not expected to noticeably affect users experience of the route in North Lincolnshire.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 1 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries - Section 12.2.0, 12.3.0 and 12.4.0 Chapter 21 - Socie-Economics - Table 21-2, Section 21.4.0 Chapter 23 - Sociesment of Clamulative and In-Combination Effects Chapter 25 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring Chapter 28 - Conclusion					
Policy TR2	Proposals that require static objects in the East marine plan areas, should demonstrate, in order of preference: a) but firey will not adversely impact on creational boating routes; a) but firey will not adversely impact on recreational boating routes, they will minimise them. c) how, if the adverse impacts cannot be minimised; they will be mitigated d) the case for proceeding with the proposal if it is not possible to minimise or mitigate the adverse impacts.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. Links to policy P51, P52, P53. The Marice Policy Statement [3 11] and 3 11 6] emphasizes the estimated economic contribution of recreational boiling to the United Kingdom economy as well as highlighting the indirect benefits for costals toms. Static colects can pole arise to vessels and may include objects both on and under the water as well as on the sabed. They could also retrict insignitor notes for creational outs. Static colects can pole arise to be Marine Policy Statement through highlighting the benefits of arisy engagement and aims to ensure trained for increational origin plan arise. The requirement under [4] is to provide information for consideration by the relevant public authority. <u>Listud reals</u> see East Plan parse: 476-485.	RF-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The original G suscend the input of the proposed development on common of and recreational navigation within the Humber, including undertaking a Navigational Risk Assessment Risk. The mean example of the one range of unables to be ranged or unables of the example. The original complexess of the example of the imperimentation of embedded mitigation navies for the assessment Risk. The development. The includes providing an unable in the bases to be obtained or the original complexes of the original complexes of the original complexes of the original common state of the proposed attentions to the quay signment, Dapper 3 of the US the considered the potential changes to used movements during both the construction and operation phases of the previously proposed mitigation measures (includes providing and path in the baseline position within the Humber, shipping and marginition considerations, stateholder comulation, a review of the previously proposed mitigation measures (includes providing and path in the baseline conducted that the Provider bundh here a minimum (and not significant) (effect on the existing in profile which should be managed and contained assuming compliance with embedded mitigation and regulations governing movements, plotage, towage. Vesiof inflict. Service (VTS) and procedures. A general decrease in risk in noted across all hazard categories when compared to the assessment undertaken in 2011 in support of the original DCO application, Factors influencing the decrease in mitigation advisories of the project (SGN) reflection in gassing transits from AGC • Improvement of the Humber vesis transits path the Project (SGN) and imprementation of embedded mitigation, over time; • The embedding of the quay degrain while reveal of the gassing transits from AGC • The improvement of the lengthesis in the 2011 INA that have after the server of the single actions completed with recognate the second the theory exists in the 2011 AGN tark there fore the oris completed ore were taking from AGC. • The revised in t	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 13 - Commercial and Recreational Fisheries -Section 12.2.0, 12.3.0 and 12.4.0 Chapter 14 - Commercial and Recreational Navigation - Section 14.2.0, 12.0 and 14.4.0 Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring					
Policy TR3	Proposals that deliver tourism and/or recreation related benefits in communities adjacent to the East marine plan areas should be supported.	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore glan areas. Links to the Coastal Concordat. The aim of this policy is to promote and support terrestrial planning authority ambitions to deliver sustainable T&R related benefits to the landward side of the East Murine Plans. Then deliver sustainable T&R related benefits to the landward side of the East Murine Plans. The relator real and posteriol conflict, mainties compatibility between marine activities and encourage co-existence of multiple uses. The Marine Policy Statement recognises the deliver suit and posteriol conflict, mainties only visit during school holdsays. See Early the present activities and encourage co-existence of multiple uses. The Marine Policy Statement recognises the durings made by seatile towns to static vision all year round, although one marine activities are restricted by weather and many families only visit during school holdsays. See Early han para.	OUT- Policy incored out of assessment through EMP policy tearch- the policies are not relevant to the application area	The proposal does not propose tourism or recreation related development	Policy N/A to application	Policy N/A to application				
Policy WIND1	beendprenets requiring authorization, that are in or could affect vibes hold under a lease or an agreement for lease that has been granteed by The Crown Estate for development of an Offshore Wind Farm, should not be authorized unless a) they can clearly demonstrate that they will not comparisine the construction, operation, maintenance, or decommissioning of the Offshore Wind Farm b) the leased genement for lease has been surrendered back to The Crown Estate and not been re-tendered c) the leased genement for lease has been surrendered back to The Crown State of in other exceptional circumstances	This policy applies to both inshore and offshore plan areas. This policy is spatial and covers lakes areas granted by The Crown Estate, and demonstration sites. The policy and to protect site is demited by TCE from teriliaistion by other uses until such time as the site is no longer used, or liable to be reused in the future. Exceptional cumulances include where an Offshore (WinG Farm leak holder or agreement for lasse holder grants permission for another party to use that area for another (non-Offshore WinG Farm) use. See East Plans paras: 305-309.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The development proposals do not propose or impact upon an offshore wind farm inside a Round 3 Zone. However, the development actively supports the delivery of offshore wind farm development.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economics - Section 21.4.0 Chapter 26 - Assessment of Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Chapter 27 - Summary of Mitigation and Monitoring Chapter 28 - Conclusion		1.01			
Policy WIND2	Proposals for Offshore Wind Farms inside Round 3 zones, including relevant supporting projects and infrastructure, should be supported.	This policy applies to both inshere and offshore plan areas. Link to policy WIND1, GOV3 and Go2. This policy aims to ensure that the large potential for Offshore Wind Farms in the East marine plan areas and the ambitions of government for renewable energy are realised by preferring proposal which are compatible the policy, including supporting infrastructure. See East Plan paras: 310-314.	N-Policy scoped into assessment through EMP policy search	The development proposals do not propose or impact upon an offshore wind farm inside a Round 3 Zone. However, the development actively supports the delivery of offshore wind farm development.	Chapter 4 - Description of Changes to the Development Chapter 21 - Socio-Economics - Section 21.4.0 Chapter 26 - Assessment of Cumulative and In-Combinution Effects Chapter 27 - Summary of Miligation and Monitoring Chapter 28 - Conclusion Application assessment result					